

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,091	03/30/2004		Gerald J. Lozinski	Mrcofe-2-4277	7301
7:	590	06/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Lawrence J. S	hurupo	ff	KIM, EUGENE LEE		
Sunbeam Produ	•				
2381 Executive Center Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Roca Raton FI 33431				3721	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		70
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,091	LOZINSKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eugene L Kim	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆 Intoniau.	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/814,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reham et al in view of Short as discussed in paragraph 4 of the last office action. Regarding the portable limitations as claimed, the examiner notes that it has been held it is not regarded as inventive to merely make a device portable or movable without producing any new and unexpected result. See in re Lindberg, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA 1952). Regarding the intended use in the kitchen limitation, the examiner notes that this limitation does not further limit the claim as set forth in MPEP 2105, 2106. Furthermore, this limitation does not set forth any particular structural differences. MPEP 2111.02
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references are not properly combined and that the primary reference, Reham et al, will not be operable with the combination of Short, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this case, both references have pressing functions and secondary reference Short teaches the basic concept of providing a biasing spring effect.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,091

Art Unit: 3721

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 571 272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,091 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721